































Ex	pected Impacts		Pres	/ention through March 11 c	Design Workshop f 2020, Tempe, AZ
Surve •	ey of design and construction profes Change as a result of implementing PtD (%	ssionals in % of respond	the UK: lents)		
	Item	Decrease	No Change	Increase	
	Design cost (n=35)	6%	46%	49%	
	Construction cost (n=38)	34%	24%	42%	
	Design duration (n=37)	8%	57%	35%	
	Construction duration (n=39)	38%	44%	18%	
	Construction quality (n=39)	8%	28%	64%	
	Construction worker productivity (n=30)	13%	33%	53%	
	Construction worker health & safety (n=45)	4%	9%	87%	
	End-user health and safety (n=42)	5%	10%	86%	
Source: Final Rep	ort, NIOSH PtD in the UK study, May 2013.				
We built that.		losh		Arizona	Ira A. Fulton Schools of Engineering



## **Interest in PtD**

## · Owner attitudes toward PtD

	Industry Surveys (n = 103)	Case Study Surveys (n = 79)	All Surveys (n = 182)
The potential benefits of PtD do not seem compelling to me.	5%	4%	4%
The benefits of PtD sound promising but there are too many barriers to try implementing it.	11%	3%	7%
The benefits of PtD sound like a good idea. I would consider trying it.	68%	46%	58%
PtD sounds like a winner. I have already or will likely try to implement it.	17%	48%	30%

Toole, T.M., Gambatese, J.A., and Abowitz, D.A. (2016). "Owners' Role in Facilitating Prevention through Design." Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice, ASCE, 143(1), 04016012.

Tiosh

## We built that.

18

Engineering

Prevention through Design Workshop March 11 of 2020, Tempe, AZ













Addres	ssing S	Safety	in	the	e De	esign	
← ⇒ C 🗋 localhost/S	liDeRulE/wp-content/plugins/calculator/	/summary.php				☆ ⊘ ≡	
	Assessment	Results			Logaut		
	STEPS	Summary			<u>é</u>		
	Project Description	Total Safety Risk		99.71	S.Dubill		
	<ul> <li>Assessment Results</li> </ul>	System 1: Foundation 0					
	ALL SAME AND ADDRESS	Subsystem		Safety Risk			
	Contraction of the	1.1 Deep foundation		18.51			
	SYSTEMS	1.2 Shallow Foundation		10.52			
	1 Foundation		Subtotal	29.03			
	2. Structural Frame	System 2: Structural Frame					
	3. Exterior Endosure	Subsystem		Safety Risk			
	4 Roof	21 Column		11.08			
	S Interiors	2.2 Wall		2.46			
	C FIG Suppression	2.3 Seam/Girder		25.26			
	7. Plambing	2.4 Stab		Not included			
	8. HVAC	2.5 Decking		Not included			
	9. Electrical		Subtotal	59.70			
		رومنددي.					









				Preventi	on through D March 11 of 2
npedime	nts to	o PtC			
npodinio					
low from onthe do		dar aaab a	f the fellowin	a aritaria	du univo or
Tow frequently do	you consid	der each d	or the followin	ig criteria	auning
	Always (5)	Often (4)	Sometimes (3)	Rarely (2)	Never (1)
Quality of design	$\checkmark$				
Cost of construction		1			
Owner demand	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>				
Building aesthetics		1			
Construction schedule				1	
End-user safety	$\checkmark$				
Worker safety				1	
L			-	1	
Gambatese, J., AlOmari, K., and Liu	, D. (2018). "Consid	eration of Worker S	afety in the Design Proces	ss: A Statistical-bas	ed Approach Usi
VA). In Proceedings of the Constit	iction Research Cor		New Oneans, LA, April 2-	4, 2010.	
			DSH		



Impediments to PtD	Pr	evention through De March 11 of 20
Ranking: 1 = Greatest inhibitor of PtD; 8 = Least inh	nibitor of	PtD
Challenges to Adoption of PtD	Rank	Relative Index (RI)
1. No immediate financial incentive for Architects/Engineers	1	0.89
2. Lack of regulatory requirement of Architects/Engineers	2	0.83
3. Lack of recognizable duty of Architects/Engineers	3	0.74
4. Reluctance to change the standard contracts to enable the adoption of PtD	4	0.71
<ol> <li>Lack of knowledge and training about PtD among Architects/Engineers</li> </ol>	5	0.68
6. Resistance from Architects/Engineers to adopt PtD	6	0.67
7. Resistance from Owners to adopt PtD	6	0.67
8. Lack of knowledge and training about PtD among Owners	8	0.64
Ghosh, S., Langar, S., and Bhattacharjee, S. (2015). "A Cross Sectional Study of the Perceptions of Large Co Annual International Conference Proceedings, Associated Schools of Construction, College Station, TX, April 2	ntractors towards 22-25, 2015.	s Prevention through Desig
		ASU



